

CTI

May 30, 89

- Note: Signal of SU attack from  
<sup>Pensance? Penkovsky</sup>  
~~Penkovsky~~ (?) During CTI - at same

time as Real phase of SU attacks:

But at time when SU had about  
nothing. [So (?) Pen. hardly decide  
not to pass on warning

(like Pearl Harbor!)

- What if US had responded to signal  
by going on full intell alert? (DefCon I)  
warning

That would have given SU the  
warning of US with planning immediate  
FS, or effort immediate FS (about the  
same).

Again - if SU had had a prompter  
cap. What would risk be if...

[Run CTI again, with SCENARIO

1) parity

or 2) Prompter cap. ?!

[as Nife behind, in 1961, Berlin - which  
he saw as scarier than CTI: "despite" "large numbers"

(couldn't have some SU move —  
which tried to achieve SU FS parity;

or — ignore SU hints disorder  
when the head 200 on Cuba  
(could JFK clearly have refrained  
from blockade?)

No exam. of massacre is relevant  
to leader cap. of rising attack...

---

As with Pinkberry:

A country in crisis is likely  
to round up its suspected spies  
— as Castro did before CI —  
who wrote the and such a  
warning!

and see KGB hinting in (747)  
that the man about to be attacked!

(What if one had been a double  
agent? and he exaggerated SU response?  
leading to SU council of ambition